

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.	)	
and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL	)	
PROPERTIES INC.,	)	
	)	C.A. No. 04-1338 (KAJ)
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CONSOLIDATED
v.	)	
	)	
APPLE COMPUTER, INC., et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**DISCOVERY ORDER**

Whereas, upon Plaintiffs' request, the Court conducted a telephone conference on September 14, 2006 in the above-referenced matter to discuss the schedule for the Defendants' production of documents to Plaintiffs and the Defendants' supplementation of certain responses to Plaintiffs' interrogatories;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

**1. Deadlines for initiation and substantial completion of document production.**

Individual Defendants will initiate and substantially complete document production based on the following schedule:

Defendant	Deadline for Initiation of Document Production	Deadline for Substantial Completion of Document Production
Arima Display	October 10, 2006	October 30, 2006
Casio Computer Co., Ltd.	N/A	October 30, 2006
BOE-HYDIS Technology Co., Ltd.	N/A	October 30, 2006
Fuji Defendants	September 30, 2006	October 30, 2006
Hitachi Displays, Ltd.	N/A	October 30, 2006
Philips Defendants	N/A	October 30, 2006
Samsung SDI Defendants	N/A	October 30, 2006

<b>Defendant</b>	<b>Deadline for Initiation of Document Production</b>	<b>Deadline for Substantial Completion of Document Production</b>
Sony	N/A	October 30, 2006
Seiko Epson Corp. and Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices	September 30, 2006	October 30, 2006
Toppoly Optoelectronics Corp.	N/A	October 30, 2006
Wintek Defendants	September 30, 2006	October 30, 2006

**2. Deadlines for providing supplemental responses to contention interrogatories that are independent of information provided by Honeywell and on which Defendants bear the burden of proof.**

Individual Defendants will, subject to further supplementation, provide supplemental responses to Plaintiffs' contention interrogatories that are independent of information provided by Honeywell on which Defendants bear the burden of proof based on the following deadlines:

<b>Defendant</b>	<b>Deadline for providing supplemental responses to contention interrogatories on laches and invalidity.</b>
Arima Display	October 6, 2006
Casio Computer Co., Ltd.	September 28, 2006
Citizen Defendants	September 30, 2006
Hitachi Displays, Ltd.	September 22, 2006
Philips Defendants	October 13, 2006
Seiko Epson Corp. and Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices	September 30, 2006
Toppoly Optoelectronics Corp.	October 6, 2006
Wintek Defendants	October 6, 2006

SO ORDERED:

---

United States District Court Judge

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

538631